System and Method for Developing Topography Based Management Systems

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is related to the following co-pending U.S. Patent Application filed on the same day as the present application and having the same inventors and assignee: "System and Method for Analyzing Software Components using Calibration Factors" (Docket No. AUS9-2001-0639-US1), by Sweitzer and Wood and assigned to the IBM Corporation.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Technical Field

The present invention relates in general to a method and system for developing computer software systems. More particularly, the present invention relates to a system and method for providing a topography oriented to customer needs and topography neutral components installable on one or more topographies.

2. Description of the Related Art

Traditional software products are designed and implemented with a particular management philosophy or methodology focus. For example, a distributed software product may be designed with a client-server framework to support distributing application functionality on client computers while maintaining a link to a more centralized server system. On the other hand, a centralized system may be designed and deployed with tight integration between

user interfaces and central processing because all processing is performed on a large centralized system.

Customers of software products have a particular culture that is manifested in each customer's management philosophy, or methodology, as well as each customer's infrastructure. To some extent, the type of business in which the customer is engaged helps determine the philosophy and infrastructure that will be employed.

In traditional systems, customers are often faced with surveying a wide variety of software solutions to determine which solution is the best fit for the customer. For example, a small, centralized customer would be ill-suited for a large, distributed software application. While a particular solution may be advantageous to one customer, it may be disastrous to another customer - even if the two customers are in the same general business field. This again is due to differences in the customers' management philosophies and methodologies. While the solution may readily work for one customer, the solution itself assumes or requires a particular management philosophy.

Software developers are faced with an increasing challenge in developing software solutions for a variety of customers with a variety of management philosophies while, at the same time, limiting the number of versions of software products so that each version is profitable and costs incurred maintaining the various versions are reduced. Because of these challenges, software developers often focus an application on one or two general types of customers. For example, a software manufacturer of an accounting package may create one version of a product for

a large centralized system deployed on a centralized mainframe that is designed for a large, institutional customer. Because of costs involved in designing the accounting package for a different environment, such as customers with a more distributed management philosophy wherein organizational units are separated into branch offices, the software manufacturer may resist porting the software package to additional environments.

Indeed, adding compatibility for different operating environments (i.e., UNIX™ based, MS-Windows™ based, mainframe (IBM MVS™ based), etc.), causes increased complexity for maintaining and marketing the products to perspective customers. Because of these challenges, many software vendors have selected a particular management philosophy model, such as large customers with centralized philosophies, or smaller customers with distributed philosophies, and focused development areas on the selected philosophy model. Other vendors have addressed these challenges by providing customized software solutions for a particular software area. The challenge with customized solutions, however, is the increased cost and deployment time required versus off-the-shelf software packages, and the challenge of maintaining numerous unique custom solutions.

What is needed, therefore, is a way to separate the topographical aspects of software applications from the particular software applications functions. Topographical aspects are needed to be directed towards particular management philosophies, while software application functions are needed to be neutral of topography

constraints and assumptions. Furthermore, what is needed is a method of analyzing software components using a variety of calibration factors that can be combined to form a topography designed for a particular customer.

5

SUMMARY

It has been discovered that a software system can be designed using a layered approach that provides a topography that is suitable to a particular management philosophy or particular customer requirements. The topography can be viewed as a fabric that provides an infrastructure that supports the customer's management philosophy and other requirements.

For example, in a distributed system, the topography would extend to the distributed components of the system and provide communication capabilities between processes running on the various components. In addition, the topography would address deployment mechanisms, such as interfaces between applications and users, security infrastructure, such as what control is asserted and maintained for the topography, component interaction defining how components installed on the topography interact with one another, and operation conduits that determine where and how processing is performed within the infrastructure. These same capabilities are addressed by other topographies that are developed. For example, topographies for large, centralized systems as well as small, stand-alone systems can be identified and built.

The foregoing is a summary and thus contains, by necessity, simplifications, generalizations, and omissions of detail; consequently, those skilled in the art will appreciate that the summary is illustrative only and is not intended to be in any way limiting. Other aspects, inventive features, and advantages of the present

invention, as defined solely by the claims, will become apparent in the non-limiting detailed description set forth below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The present invention may be better understood, and its numerous objects, features, and advantages made apparent to those skilled in the art by referencing the accompanying drawings. The use of the same reference symbols in different drawings indicates similar or identical items.

Figure 1 is a high level diagram of building a system topography based upon a client's philosophies and infrastructure;

Figure 2 is a diagram of a topography neutral component being installed on two different topographies;

Figure 3 is a high level diagram showing the development of a client topography based on client analysis;

Figure 4 is a diagram of a topographical component library with various calibration factor sets and component metadata describing the various components;

Figure 5 is a diagram showing a traditional software component being analyzed and divided into topography-specific and topography-neutral components;

Figure 6 is a high level flowchart of a topography-based system analysis, design, and installation;

Figure 7 is a flowchart showing steps taken in analyzing topography requirements;

Figure 8 is a flowchart showing steps taken in developing topography components identified during analysis;

Figure 9 is a flowchart showing steps taken to analyze a particular client's topography needs;

Figure 10 is a flowchart showing steps taken to build a client's topography based on the client's identified needs;

Figure 11 is a flowchart showing steps taken to install topography neutral application components onto a client's topography; and

Figure 12 is a block diagram of an information handling system capable of implementing the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The following is intended to provide a detailed description of an example of the invention and should not be taken to be limiting of the invention itself. Rather, any number of variations may fall within the scope of the invention which is defined in the claims following the description.

Figure 1 shows a high level diagram of building a system topography based upon a client's philosophies and infrastructure. A client's management philosophy **100** is analyzed in order to determine which infrastructure components **110** to use in order to build a client topography (step **120**) that best suits the client's needs. The resulting client's system topography **150** includes topographical components **160** that interrelate to one another using topographical backplane **180** that facilitates communication between the components. System topography **150** also includes deployment environment **170** for interfacing with users of the topography as well as managed resources that are outside of the topography. Deployment environment **170** uses topographical components **160** that provide for deployment functions as well as topographical backplane **180** that facilitates communication between the deployment environment components as well as other components.

Figure 2 shows a diagram of a topography neutral component being installed on two different topographies. Two different topographies are shown (topography **220** and **240**). Each of the topographies is designed to function

with a particular management philosophy. For example, topography **220** may be serve a centralized management philosophy, while topography **240** may serve a distributed management philosophy (such as a management model that uses several branch offices to manage the organization). While each of the topographies may be vastly different in terms of operating environments and components used to implement the topography, common application components are used to provide specific application functions. The application components are designed and created to be topography-neutral so that a common application component can be used with different topographies.

In the example shown, topography neutral application component library **200** includes one or more application components. A common application component can therefore be used by multiple topographies. A common application component is shown installed on both topography **220** (first copy of application component **210**), and topography **240** (second copy of application component **230**). First copy of application component **210** and second copy of application component **230** are substantially similar so that no development work is needed to provide the application component on different topography installations.

Figure 3 shows a high level diagram showing the development of a client topography based on client analysis. Clients have a variety of attributes that can be analyzed to determine which topography is likely to perform well considering the client's management methodology and philosophy.

Client attributes may be gathered (process **320**) by analyzing a variety of client attributes **300**. These attributes may include the client's organization chart **302**, the client's physical environment **304**, management surveys **306**, desired system capabilities as expressed by client management, client users, and client IT professionals **308**, location data **310** that describes the physical locations onto which the topography needs to operate, the client's existing information technology **312**, information technology surveys **314**, and other desired topography implementation characteristics **316**.

The topography provider gathers the client data (process **320**) and prepares client profile **330** which includes data to describe the client's management methodology and philosophy. The client profile is used to compare with other topography models that have been prepared and which include one or more topography components by developing the client topography (process **340**). Client management methodology and philosophy **350** includes a number of models to match against client profile **330**. These models may include centralized model **352**, branch office model **354**, transaction based model **356**, small team model **358**, hybrid model **360**, discipline oriented model **362**, resource oriented model **364**, personal model **366**, no management required model **368**, and other models **370**. Each of these models, in turn, may have further sub-models designed to more particularly match against a given client profile. For example, one branch office model may include a number of MS-Windows™ based personal computer systems at individual branch offices and a mainframe computer system at a corporate office, while another branch office model

may include an IBM AS/**400**™ computer system at branch office locations and a larger AS/**400**™ computer system at a corporate office location. These sub-models are also taken into consideration in order to develop topography (process **340**) which results in client topography **380**.

Figure 4 shows a diagram of a topographical component library with various calibration factor sets and component metadata describing the various components. A topography provider determines which topography requirements are needed to be provided by the topography as well as which calibration factor sets, or management philosophies, that will be supported. These topography requirements and calibration factor sets are used to create topography component library **400** which includes individual components used to form a client topography. Data about each topography component is stored in component metadata store **405** so that components can be analyzed and located.

Component metadata **405** includes a component identifier which uniquely identifies the component so that it can be retrieved. Component metadata **405** also includes target platform information identifying which types of computers or operating systems the particular component can be installed upon. Other information regarding the development environment, control mode, scale (or size) of the topography, resource aggregation, and management style is also maintained. In addition, technical information concerning component dependencies between the component and other components is included as well as component placement information, component packaging data, component bundling data, component options that can be specified, and

component build information (i.e., compiling and linking information) is also maintained in component metadata **405**.

Topographical component library **400** includes a number of components directed towards various topography requirements **430** and calibration factor sets **410**. Topography requirements **430** may include communications framework **440**, deployment mechanism **450**, security infrastructure **460**, component interaction **470**, and operation conduit **480**. Additional requirements **490** may also be included to provide other topographical functionality. Components are developed to provide the topography requirement functionality and directed towards different calibration factor sets. For example, a different component may be needed to provide communications framework **440** in a centralized versus a branch office implementation. Furthermore, a requirement such as security infrastructure, may have different components to address differing client needs. For example, a retail establishment and a securities broker may each have a branch office management philosophy, however the securities broker may have a need for a higher security infrastructure because of the confidential nature of the service provided as well as the need to comply with regulations enforced by the SEC or other governmental agencies.

Calibration factor sets **410** address the differing topography needs of clients based on clients' management philosophies and topographical needs. In the example shown, calibration set A (**415**) includes components to include in order to implement the topography requirements for a particular management philosophy, while calibration set B (**420**) includes components to install for another

management philosophy, and calibration factor set *n* (**445**, symbolizing numerous other calibration factor sets), includes component to install for yet more management philosophies.

In the example shown, communications framework **440** is implemented with components **443**, **446**, and **449** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively. Likewise, deployment mechanism **450** is implemented with components **453**, **456**, and **459** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively. Similarly, security infrastructure **460** is implemented with components **463**, **466**, and **469** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively. Component interaction **470** is implemented with components **473**, **476**, and **479** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively. Operation conduit **470** is implemented with components **473**, **476**, and **479** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively. And finally, other requirement(s) **490** are implemented with components **493**, **496**, and **499** that correspond to calibration factor sets A (**415**), B (**420**), and *n* (**425**), respectively.

Examining topographical component library **400** in terms of calibration factors **410** shows that a topography that is directed towards calibration factor set A (**415**) is implemented using components **443** (for communications framework), **453** (for deployment mechanism), **463** (for security infrastructure), **473** (for component interaction), **483** (for operation conduit), and **493** (for other requirements). Likewise, a topography that is directed

towards calibration factor set B (**420**) is implemented using components **446** (for communications framework), **456** (for deployment mechanism), **466** (for security infrastructure), **476** (for component interaction), **486** (for operation conduit), and **496** (for other requirements). Finally, a topography that is directed towards calibration factor set *n* (**425**) is implemented using components **449** (for communications framework), **459** (for deployment mechanism), **469** (for security infrastructure), **479** (for component interaction), **489** (for operation conduit), and **499** (for other requirements).

Figure 5 shows a diagram of a traditional software component being analyzed and divided into topography-specific and topography-neutral components. Traditional management application **500** is analyzed (process **500**) in order to determine which parts of the application are directed towards application-specific functionality and which parts of the traditional application are directed towards providing the framework of the application to work with the operating environment. Application specific code **510** is identified and converted to topography-neutral application component **520**. Topography-neutral application component **520** is stored in application component library **535** along with other application (topography-neutral) components.

Non-application specific code **550**, such as code used to communicate with a specific operating environment, is identified and converted to non-application specific code components that are used to implement one or more topographies (process **555**). Non-application specific code **550** is stored in topographical component library **575** along

with other topographical components **570**. Data describing non-application specific code **550** (metadata) is stored in topographical component data store **565**. Topographical component data store **565** may include a relational database used to keep track of data pertaining to the various topographical components.

Figure 6 shows a high level flowchart of a topography-based system analysis, design, and installation.

Processing commences at **600** whereupon topography requirements for supporting a software developer's products are analyzed (predefined process **610**, see **Figure 7** for further details). The topography components that were identified during predefined process **610** are developed as topography software components that can be installed and deployed to meet the identified topography needs (predefined process **620**, see **Figure 8** for further details).

Once the topography components are built, client needs are analyzed to determine which topography components are best suited for the particular client (predefined process **630**, see **Figure 9** for further details). For example, predefined process **630** may determine that a given client is best suited by a decentralized topography with certain control and security components for initiating processes.

The client's needs, or requirements, that were identified during predefined process **630** are compared with the topography components that have been built to support various topologies in order to build the client's topography (predefined process **640**, see **Figure 10** for further processing details). Once a topography has been identified and installed that addresses the client's

management philosophy and methodology, one or more topography neutral components can be selected and installed utilizing the topography (predefined process **650**, see **Figure 11** for further processing details). In this manner, the same topography neutral component can be installed with more than one topography. While the topography aligns the system with the client's management philosophy and methodology, the topography-neutral application components provide specific functionality to deal with specific application needs (i.e., accounting), or to handle various resources that are managed by the system. Processing thereafter ends at **690**.

Figure 7 shows a flowchart of steps taken in analyzing topography requirements. Processing commences at **700** whereupon topography design **710** is read and analyzed (step **705**). The topography design may be a generic topography designed to support a variety of applications, or may be a more specific design that is designed to support various embodiments of a large application. For example, a generic topography may be designed to handle many applications provided by many different vendors, each of whom understand the generic topography and build applications to interface with the topography. In an example of a more specific topography, the topography can be designed to handle a software vendor's accounting package using a variety of different management philosophies and methodologies and supporting a variety of operating platforms. In this manner, the same accounting software will interface with both a centralized, mainframe-oriented system as well as a distributed system with many personal computers and workstations.

A topography has a variety of components that are designed to meet various topography requirements and programmed to work with other components to form the overall topography (see **Figure 4** for some examples of topography requirements and components). For example, the topography requirements may include designing an operation conduit, a security infrastructure, and a communication framework. The first of such requirements is identified from the topography design (step **715**). The topography requirement is stored (step **720**) in topography requirements data store **725**. A determination is made as to whether there are more topography requirements (decision **730**). If there are more requirements, decision **730** branches to "yes" branch **732** which loops back to identify (step **735**) and store (step **720**) the next topography requirement. This identifying and storing continues until there are no more topography requirements, at which time decision **730** branches to "no" branch **738**.

Calibration factors are used to configure topography components to match a particular management philosophy or customer request. For example, an operation conduit may be needed for a topography but the specific operation conduit component to perform the conduit function may be different between one or more topographies. A centralized, mainframe oriented topography is likely to have an operation conduit component that operates in that environment, while another conduit may be created to work in a distributed environment that utilized workstations and personal computers. The calibration factors that the topography vendor is creating is driven by the types of customers that are likely to purchase the topography and other applications. A market

analysis helps identify customer needs and requirements as well as identify the various types of possible customers.

Market analysis data store **745**, including an analysis of customer types, needs, and requirements, is read and analyzed (step **740**). The analysis may also include prioritizing market needs so that topography components that include calibration factors are created in order to satisfy management types, needs, and requirements that are shared by more customers. The analysis also includes identifying calibration factors, such as organizational structure (centralized, distributed, branch office, etc.) that will be used in developing topography components. The first calibration factor resulting from the analyzed market analysis is identified (step **750**). The identified calibration factor is stored (step **755**) in calibration factors data store **760**. A determination is made as to whether there are more calibration factors (decision **765**). If there are more calibration factors, decision **765** branches to "yes" branch **768** which loops back to identify (step **770**) and store (step **755**) the next calibration factor. This identifying and storing continues until there are no more calibration factors, at which time decision **765** branches to "no" branch **773**.

Identified calibration factors are read from data store **760** and grouped into calibration factor sets that identify relationships between components that are programmed to address various topography requirements (step **775**, see calibration factor sets **410** in **Figure 4** for examples of components that collectively form a calibration factor set). Processing subsequently ends at **790**.

Figure 8 shows a flowchart of steps taken in developing topography components identified during analysis. Processing commences at **800** whereupon the first topography requirement is read (step **805**) from topography requirements data store **810** (see **Figure 7** for details regarding the creation of the topography requirements data store). The first set of calibration factors is read (step **815**) from calibration factor set data store **820** (see **Figure 7** for details regarding the creation of calibration factor sets).

A component is developed (i.e., designed, coded, etc.) according to the topography requirement and current set of calibration factors (step **825**). The development of the component may be based on an already-existing component or may be developed with little commonality to pre-existing components. In some situations, a component used for one topography requirement and set of calibration factors can be used for another topography requirement and set of calibration factors, in which case a copy of the pre-existing component can be used or a pointer to the pre-existing component can be used. In addition, some components may be developed to server multiple sets of calibration factors so that one set of calibration factors is handled using a given set of parameters, or options, provided to the component that the component in turn uses to determine the applicable steps taken to address the particular set of calibration factors. The developed component is stored (step **830**) in component library **835**. When a common component is used for multiple topography requirements and sets of calibration factors, a pointer to the common component may be stored in component library **835**

instead of an actual copy of the component. Component metadata, or data describing the component, is stored (step **840**) in component metadata data store **845**.

A determination is made as to whether there are more calibration factor sets that the developer wishes to address in topography design (decision **850**). If there are more calibration factor sets, decision **850** branches to "yes" branch **855** which loops back to read the next set of calibration factors (step **860**) from calibration factor set data store **820** and repeat the steps to process the resulting component. This looping continues until there are no more calibration factor sets to handle, at which point decision **850** branches to "no" branch **865** whereupon another determination is made as to whether there are more topography requirements that need to be handled (decision **870**).

If there are additional topography requirements that the developer wishes to address, decision **870** branches to "yes" branch **875** which loops back to read the next topography requirement (step **880**) from topography requirements data store **810** and repeat the steps to develop components for the topography requirement for each of the calibration factor sets. This looping continues until there are no more topography requirements that need to be addressed, at which point decision **870** branches to "no" branch **885** whereupon processing ends at **890**.

Figure 9 shows a flowchart of steps taken to analyze a particular client's topography needs. Processing commences at **900** whereupon the client's structure is analyzed (step **920**) and stored in client profile **910**. The client's

structure may be identified as being centralized, distributed, branch office, transaction based, small team, hybrid, and other management forms as well as combinations of various structures.

The client's organizational hierarchy is determined (step **925**) and stored in client profile **910**. The client's organizational hierarchy may be obtained from formal organizational charts that indicate the organizations, such as divisions or departments, involved in performing various business functions for the organization and the relationships between the various identified organizations.

The client's management style is identified (step **930**) and stored in client profile **910**. For example, the management style may be determined to be a centralized, control-oriented style wherein a centralized management maintains most decision making power. Another management style may be a distributed, branch office style wherein managers at a number of branch offices have decision making power for many decisions at their branch office with a corporate office having strategic decision making power regarding the overall business. Other examples of management style information include whether the organization favors a small team approach versus individual or large team approaches, whether the organization is discipline oriented, whether the organization is resource oriented, whether the organization has a personal style (often found in small businesses), whether no management is required (such as in a sole proprietorship or other type of business), or a hybrid management style, or some other management styles. This information can be gathered by interviewing management including processing responses to

questionnaires that, in turn, can be used to compare and contrast the organization to other organizations that have previously been identified as having particular management styles.

The client's locations for installing the topography is determined (step **940**) and stored in client profile **910**. The locations involved further help determine what type of topography should be built for the client. For example, if the client has facilities in many locations, a non-centralized topography may be better than a centralized topography. On the other hand, if a client has most operations in a single facility, then a centralized topography may be more efficient than a distributed topography. Determining locations also helps identify computer systems upon which topography components will be installed.

The client's current information technology (IT) operating platforms existing in the client's various locations are identified (step **950**) and stored in client profile **910**. Operating platforms, such as whether a particular location is currently a UNIX-based operating environment, an MS-Windows™ operating environment, an IBM mainframe operating environment, or a multi-operating platform environment help further define the client's needs. In addition, the type of networking used at a location further helps determine how locations are, or can be, linked to one another. A great deal of money and resources are often involved with establishing and supporting the client's operating platform, so understanding the current environment and providing a

platform that causes few disruptions with the installed environment is advantageous.

The client's topographical scale, or size, for the locations is determined (step **960**) and stored in client profile **910**. The size, such as the number of users or number of computers, aids in determining the demands likely to be placed on the topography at various locations. For example, all users may have a MS-Windows computer available but, because of a large number of users or the fact that users wish to access the topography from alternate work locations, it may be determined that more centralized servers should be utilized with each user being able to access the server from any of a number of work locations.

The client's type of business is also identified (step **970**) and stored in client profile **910**. The type of business, such as whether the client is a retailer, a securities broker, or a software manufacturer, help determine the type of topographical functions that will likely be needed by the client. The client's type of business can also be compared with other clients to identify topographical functionality found useful or advantageous to previous clients. For example, a securities broker may require an enhanced security component for ensuring topographical security in order to maintain the confidential data of customers, while a retailer may require an enhanced communications framework component to allow the client's various retail locations to communicate with each other and with a corporate office in an efficient manner.

National language needs for the client's locations is also identified (step **975**) and stored in client profile **910**. Identifying national language support aids in ensuring that topographical components installed will either support or communicate using languages used by the client. For example, some character sets such as Kanji, require extra storage (i.e., double-byte character sets) in order to store and display the characters. In addition, messages and other information provided by the topography components to users should be displayed using the user's chosen language.

The client may have other needs that are particular to the client or to the client's type of business that are identified by analysts working with the client (step **980**) and stored in client profile **910**. When all of the client's topography needs have been gathered and stored in client profile **910**, processing ends at **990**.

Figure 10 shows a flowchart of the steps taken to build a client's topography based on the client's identified needs. Processing commences at **1000** whereupon client profile **1010** is read and the client's topography requirements are determined (step **1005**, see **Figure 9** for details regarding the creation of client profile **1010**). The topography vendor's available topography components **1020** are matched against the client's identified topography requirements (step **1015**). A determination is made as to whether components needed to make the client's topography were found in topography metadata **1020** (decision **1025**). If the components were not found, decision **1025** branches to "no" branch **1030** whereupon the needed topography components are developed (predefined process **1035**, see **Figure 8** for

processing details) and data regarding the components are stored in topography metadata **1020** and newly developed components are stored in topography component library **1055.** On the other hand, if the needed topography components were found in topography metadata **1020,** decision **1025** branches to "yes" branch **1040** bypassing further topography component development steps.

The client's topography may be installed on one location or many locations designed to interoperate to function as an organizational topography. The first client location is identified (step **1045**). The location may be a physical location or a computer system within a physical location. The topography components that will be installed on the identified location are retrieved (step **1050**) from topography component library **1055.** The retrieved components are installed (step **1060**) at client **1061** as topography layer **1063** which interacts with the client's physical environment and operating systems **1062.** The installation may be performed by transmitting the retrieved component to the location across a network or other transmission means or the retrieved components may be packaged onto a computer medium, such as a CD-ROM or magnetic storage, and physically delivered to the identified location for installation. A determination is made as to whether there are more client locations onto which the topography is installed (decision **1065**). If there are more client locations, decision **1065** branches to "yes" branch **1070** which loops back to identify the next client location (step **1075**), retrieve the components for the location (step **1050**), and install the components at the location (step **1060**). This looping continues until there

are no more locations to install the topography, at which time decision **1075** branches to "no" branch **1080** and processing ends at **1090**.

Figure 11 shows a flowchart of steps taken to install topography neutral application components onto a client's topography. Processing commences at **1100** whereupon a request is received, either manually or electronic, from a client for an application component that is designed to work with an installed topography (step **1110**).

The requested application component is located and retrieved (step **1120**) from topography neutral application component library **1130**. The retrieved application component is installed on the requesting client's topography (step **1140**). Client **1150** has a layered system that forms the operating environment. The client's operating environment includes one or more physical environments and operating systems (**1155**) upon which one or more topography components (**1160**) are installed. Application components (**1165**, **1170**, **1175**, and **1180**) are installed on top of the topography components. The application components are topography neutral so that a common application component can be installed on many different topology installations.

A determination is made as to whether the client wishes to install more application components (decision **1185**). If the client requests more application components, decision **1185** branches to "yes" branch **1188** which receives the next application request from the client (step **1190**) and loops back to locate and install the next application component. This looping continues until the client has no

more application component requests, at which time decision **1185** branches to "no" branch **1192** and processing ends at **1195.**

Figure 12 illustrates information handling system **1201** which is a simplified example of a computer system capable of performing the server and client operations described herein. Computer system **1201** includes processor **1200** which is coupled to host bus **1205.** A level two (L2) cache memory **1210** is also coupled to the host bus **1205.** Host-to-PCI bridge **1215** is coupled to main memory **1220,** includes cache memory and main memory control functions, and provides bus control to handle transfers among PCI bus **1225,** processor **1200,** L2 cache **1210,** main memory **1220,** and host bus **1205.** PCI bus **1225** provides an interface for a variety of devices including, for example, LAN card **1230.** PCI-to-ISA bridge **1235** provides bus control to handle transfers between PCI bus **1225** and ISA bus **1240,** universal serial bus (USB) functionality **1245,** IDE device functionality **1250,** power management functionality **1255,** and can include other functional elements not shown, such as a real-time clock (RTC), DMA control, interrupt support, and system management bus support. Peripheral devices and input/output (I/O) devices can be attached to various interfaces **1260** (e.g., parallel interface **1262,** serial interface **1264,** infrared (IR) interface **1266,** keyboard interface **1268,** mouse interface **1270,** and fixed disk (HDD) **1272**) coupled to ISA bus **1240.** Alternatively, many I/O devices can be accommodated by a super I/O controller (not shown) attached to ISA bus **1240.**

BIOS **1280** is coupled to ISA bus **1240**, and incorporates the necessary processor executable code for a variety of low-level system functions and system boot functions. BIOS **1280** can be stored in any computer readable medium, including magnetic storage media, optical storage media, flash memory, random access memory, read only memory, and communications media conveying signals encoding the instructions (e.g., signals from a network). In order to attach computer system **1201** to another computer system to copy files over a network, LAN card **1230** is coupled to PCI bus **1225** and to PCI-to-ISA bridge **1235**. Similarly, to connect computer system **1201** to an ISP to connect to the Internet using a telephone line connection, modem **1275** is connected to serial port **1264** and PCI-to-ISA Bridge **1235**.

While the computer system described in **Figure 12** is capable of executing the invention described herein, this computer system is simply one example of a computer system. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that many other computer system designs are capable of performing the invention described herein.

One of the preferred implementations of the invention is an application, namely, a set of instructions (program code) in a code module which may, for example, be resident in the random access memory of the computer. Until required by the computer, the set of instructions may be stored in another computer memory, for example, on a hard disk drive, or in removable storage such as an optical disk (for eventual use in a CD ROM) or floppy disk (for eventual use in a floppy disk drive), or downloaded via the Internet or other computer network. Thus, the present invention may

be implemented as a computer program product for use in a computer. In addition, although the various methods described are conveniently implemented in a general purpose computer selectively activated or reconfigured by software, one of ordinary skill in the art would also recognize that such methods may be carried out in hardware, in firmware, or in more specialized apparatus constructed to perform the required method steps.

While particular embodiments of the present invention have been shown and described, it will be obvious to those skilled in the art that, based upon the teachings herein, changes and modifications may be made without departing from this invention and its broader aspects and, therefore, the appended claims are to encompass within their scope all such changes and modifications as are within the true spirit and scope of this invention. Furthermore, it is to be understood that the invention is solely defined by the appended claims. It will be understood by those with skill in the art that if a specific number of an introduced claim element is intended, such intent will be explicitly recited in the claim, and in the absence of such recitation no such limitation is present. For a non-limiting example, as an aid to understanding, the following appended claims contain usage of the introductory phrases "at least one" and "one or more" to introduce claim elements. However, the use of such phrases should not be construed to imply that the introduction of a claim element by the indefinite articles "a" or "an" limits any particular claim containing such introduced claim element to inventions containing only one such element, even when the same claim includes the introductory phrases "one or more" or "at least one" and

indefinite articles such as "a" or "an"; the same holds true for the use in the claims of definite articles.